



TITLE:

# Note on Shape Theory (II) : Problems (Shapeと無限次元多様体 )

AUTHOR(S):

KODAMA, YUKIHIRO

---

CITATION:

KODAMA, YUKIHIRO. Note on Shape Theory (II) : Problems (Shapeと無限次元多様体). 数理解析研究所講究録 1979, 342: 1-4

ISSUE DATE:

1979-01

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/104294>

RIGHT:

# Note on shape theory II : Problems

Yukihiro Kodama

Department of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the class consisting of metrizable spaces. We define a shape category in  $\mathcal{M}$  called a fine shape category as follows. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be spaces in  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $X$  and  $Y$  be closed sets in  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. According to [7] a continuous map  $f : M-X \rightarrow N$  is said to be a fine map from  $M-X$  into  $N$  rel.  $X, Y$  if for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y$  in  $N$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $X$  in  $M$  such that  $f(U-X) \subset V$ . Two fine maps  $f, g : M-X \rightarrow N$  rel.  $X, Y$  are fine homotopic (notation:  $f \underset{F}{\simeq} g$  rel.  $X, Y$ ) if there is a homotopy  $H : (M-X) \times I \rightarrow N$  satisfying the following condition:  $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ ,  $H(x, 1) = g(x)$  for  $x \in M-X$ , and for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y$  in  $N$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $X$  in  $M$  such that  $H((U-X) \times I) \subset V$ . The following lemmas are obvious.

Lemma 1. If  $Y$  is an unstable closed set of  $N$  and  $f : M-X \rightarrow N$  is a fine map rel.  $X, Y$ , then there is a fine map  $f' : M-X \rightarrow N$  rel.  $X, Y$  such that  $f'(M-X) \subset N-Y$  and  $f \underset{F}{\simeq} f'$  rel.  $X, Y$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $L \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Z$  a closed set of  $L$ . If  $f : M-X \rightarrow N$  is a fine map rel.  $X, Y$  such that  $f(M-X) \subset N-Y$  and  $g : N-Y \rightarrow L$  is a fine map rel.  $Y, Z$ , then  $gf : M-X \rightarrow L$  is a fine map rel.  $X, Z$ .

A fine map  $f : M-X \rightarrow N$  rel.  $X, Y$  is said to be a fine equivalence if there are fine maps  $f' : M-X \rightarrow N$  rel.  $X, Y$  and  $g : N-Y \rightarrow M$  rel.  $Y, X$  such that  $f'(M-X) \subset N-Y$ ,  $f' \underset{F}{\simeq} f$  rel.  $X, Y$ , and

$$(1) \quad gf' \underset{F}{\simeq} 1_{M-X} \text{ rel. } X, X,$$

$$(2) \quad f'g \underset{F}{\simeq} l_{N-Y} \text{ rel. } Y, Y,$$

where  $l_{M-X}$  and  $l_{N-Y}$  are the identity fine maps in  $M-X$  and  $N-Y$  respectively. If only (1) is satisfied, then  $f$  is said to be a fine domination.

For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ , let  $M$  and  $N$  be AR's containing  $X, Y$  as unstable closed sets respectively. If there is a fine equivalence  $f : M-X \rightarrow N \text{ rel. } X, Y$ , then we say that  $X$  and  $Y$  are fine shape equivalent or have the same fine shape and write  $Sh_F(X) = Sh_F(Y)$ . If there is a fine domination  $f : M-X \rightarrow N$ , then  $X$  fine shape dominates  $Y$  and we write  $Sh_F(X) \geq Sh_F(Y)$ . By Lemmas 1 and 2, it is easy to see that there is a shape category consisting of spaces in  $M$  whose morphisms are the fine homotopy equivalence classes of fine maps. We call it a fine shape category. If spaces are compact then the fine shape defined here is the same as one defined in [9].

Problem 1. For  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ , what relation is there between  $Sh(X)$  and  $Sh_F(X)$  or  $Sh_W(X)$  and  $Sh_F(X)$ ? If  $X$  and  $Y$  are locally compact and  $Sh(X) \geq Sh(Y)$  or  $Sh_W(X) \geq Sh_W(Y)$ , is  $Sh_F(X) \geq Sh_F(Y)$  true? Here  $Sh(X)$  is the shape of  $X$  in the sense of Fox [4] and  $Sh_W(X)$  is the weak shape in the sense of Borsuk [1].

Problem 2. Characterize the space  $X$  such that  $Sh_F(X) = 1$ , that is,  $X$  is fine shape equivalent with a one point space.

The following are problems concerning the shape  $Sh(X)$  however many of them are interesting one's concerning the fine shape  $Sh_F(X)$  too.

Problem 3. Let  $X, Y, X', Y' \in \mathbb{M}$  and let  $Y, Y'$  be locally compact. If  $\text{Sh}(X) = \text{Sh}(X')$  and  $\text{Sh}(Y) = \text{Sh}(Y')$ , is  $\text{Sh}(X \times Y) = \text{Sh}(X' \times Y')$  true ?

Problem 4. Are there  $X \in \mathbb{M}$  and a locally compact space  $Y \in \mathbb{M}$  such that  $\text{Fd}(X \times Y) < \text{Fd}(X) + \text{Fd}(Y)$  ? Here  $\text{Fd}(X) = \min\{\dim Y : Y \in \mathbb{M} \text{ and } \text{Sh}(X) \leq \text{Sh}(Y)\}$ .

Cf. [5] and [6].

Problem 5. (S. Mardešić) Let  $X \in \mathbb{M}$  and let  $Y$  be a locally finite simplicial polytope.

(1) Is there the product  $\text{Sh}(X) \times \text{Sh}(Y)$  ?

(2) If  $\text{Sh}(X) \times \text{Sh}(Y)$  exists, is  $\text{Sh}(X) \times \text{Sh}(Y) = \text{Sh}(X \times Y)$  ?

Problem 6. Let  $X, Y \in \mathbb{M}$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . If there is a locally finite open cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  such that for any finite set  $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \Lambda$   $f|_{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_n})} : f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_n}) \rightarrow U_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_n}$  is a shape equivalence, is  $f$  a shape equivalence ?

By the same way as in the proof of [8], the problem is solved affirmatively for the fine shape  $\text{Sh}_F$ .

Problem 7. If  $X$  is a compactum which is a 1-1 continuous image of a locally compact ANR, then:

(1) Is  $X$  an FANR ?

(2) Is  $X$  movable ?

Problem 8. Let  $X$  be a locally compact space in  $\mathbb{M}$ . If  $X$  is movable in the sense of Kozłowski and Segal [10], is every metrizable compactification of  $X$  movable ?

Problem 9. Let  $X$  be a compactum. If there is a countable

number of movable compacta  $X_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\dots$ , such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ , is  $X$  movable? (Here  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , are not necessarily disjoint.)

Problem 10. Let  $(X, x_0)$  and  $(Y, y_0)$  be pointed compacta. If  $\underline{f} : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is a shaping such that  $\underline{f}_* : \underline{\pi}_n(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{\pi}_n(Y, y_0)$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is  $\text{Sh}_F(X, x_0) = \text{Sh}_F(Y, y_0)$  true? Here  $\underline{\pi}_*$  is the shape group defined by Quigley [11].

Problem 11. (Chapman) Is every weak proper homotopy equivalence a proper homotopy equivalence?

If Problem 11 has an affirmative solution, the second part of Problem 1 is so. Cf. [2] and [3].

#### References

- [1] K.Borsuk, Theory of shape, Warszawa 1975.
- [2] T.A.Chapman, On some applications of infinite dimensional manifolds to the theory of shape, Fund.Math. 76(1972), 181-193.
- [3] D.A.Edwards and H.M.Hastings, Every weak proper homotopy equivalence is weakly properly homotopic to a proper homotopy equivalence, Trans.A.M.S. 221(1976), 239-248.
- [4] R.H.Fox, On shape, Fund.Math. 74(1972), 47-71.
- [5] Y.Kodama, On shape of product spaces, General Top.Appli. 8(1978), 141-150.
- [6] ———, On product of shape and a question of Sher, Pacific J.Math. 72(1977), 115-134.
- [7] ———, A characterization property of a finite dimensional pointed FANR, to appear in Japanese J.Math.
- [8] ———, On tom Dieck's theorem on shape theory, to appear in Bull.Acad.Polon.Sci.
- [9] Y.Kodama and J.Ono, On fine shape theory, to appear in Fund. Math.
- [10] G.Kozłowski and J.Segal, Locally well-behaved paracompacta in shape theory, Fund.Math. 95(1977), 55-71.
- [11] J.B.Quigley, An exact sequence from the  $n$ th to the  $(n-1)$ -st fundamental group, Fund.Math. 77(1973), 195-210.